

PSCC Subcommittee TF S10 Meeting Minutes

Designation:		Name:						
TF S10		Utility & Mu Practices	nicipality Challenges	on Analyzing and Imp	lementing Cybersecurity	y Standards and I	Best	
Meeting Loca	tion:		Meeting Time:	Meeting Date:	Minutes Revised:	Minutes Approved:		
WebEx Meeting		2:20 P.M. CS	2021/01/11		2021/05/03			
PAR Output:	PAR Outp	out:	PAR Approval Date	: PAR Expiration Date:	Target Sponsor Ballot Date:	Target Completion Date:		
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Presiding Offi	cer:			Recorded by:	Draft Nu	mber:		
Jeff Pack, Chair				Jeff Pack, Chair	[1.1]	[1.1]		
Attendance	2:					Attending via Phone (P) / We		
Loff Dool		Name			(W) or Local (L)			
Jeff Pack Jim Michae	lic			POWER Engineers, Ind Commonwealth Asso	W	M G		
James Forn				Eaton	W	G		
Mike Dood				SEL		W	M	
Craig Rieger				INL		W	G	
Shane Have				Ametek		W	M	
Priyanka Nadkar				SEL		W	G	
Mario Jardim				Schneider Electric		W	G	
Dan Nordell				Xcel Energy		W	G	
Scott Mix				PNNL		W	М	
Seth Nelson				Basler Electric	W	G		
Wayne Stec				Distregen, LLC	W	G		
Jason Lombardo				S&C Electric Company	W	G		
Hani Al-Yousef				Eaton	W	G		
Randy Hamilton				Basler Electric		W	G	
Craig Prues				Black and Veatch		W	М	
Jay Mearns				Northern California Power Agency		W	G	
Dennis Holstein				Opus Consulting	W	G		
Steven Kun	isman			Hitachi ABB Power Grids		W	G	
Herb Falk				OTB Consulting Services		W	G	
Eric Thibod				Hydro-Quebec		W	G	
David Dole				SEL		W	G G	
	Jackie Wilson			Ameren		W	G	
Xiangyu Ding Mason Griffin				S&C Electric Company CMY Solutions		W	G	
Anthony Johnson				SCE		W	G	
Karen Wyszczelski				SEL		W	G	
Jesse Silva				SCE		W	G	
Yuchen Lu				EPRI		W	G	
M:Member CM: Correspond G: Guest	ding Member					1	_ I	

Item no.	Notes	Action by
CALL TO ORDER	Called to order by the chair at 2:02 p.m. CST	Pack
INTRODUCTIONS	Third TF meeting – all attendees on WebEx call.	Pack
AND QUORUM		
CALL FOR PATENTS	Patent slides and copyright slides presented to group.	Pack
AND COPYRIGHT		
CHAIR'S REMARKS	Meeting minutes were sent to attendees of the second meeting.	Pack
AGENDA APPROVAL	Agenda was presented with no specific comments.	Pack
APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES	N/A – Task Force	Pack
Review TF10 Title, Scope, Purpose	The chair shared the purpose of the group with the focus on the deliverable as a report.	Pack
Audience	The chair discussed the intended audience for this report and presented a proposal that the audience be focused on Operations Engineering (OT) Management/Staff and Information Technology (IT) and that we need to cover the OT/IT convergence as part of the overall theme for the report.	Pack
Baseline Standards	The chair presented several options for baseline standards that were mentioned in the previous meeting, including the ISO 27000 series of standards. Holstein mentioned that IEC 62443 and 62351 should also be included. Mix mentioned that certificate management should also be included in the standards discussion. Falk mentioned that the Purdue model may not be sufficient to describe the architecture. Holstein agreed and that there should be a cross reference between the IEC and ISO standards.	Pack
Applicability Matrix	The chair discussed the need to provide a simplified way to provide a set of baseline requirements and allow an engineering manager or equivalent to develop a set of parameters for developing a cybersecurity program that meets baseline requirements and aligns with the organization's functions, capabilities, resources and staff. Holstein mentioned that maturity should also be included.	Pack
Maturity Model	Jardim mentioned that ENISA has an interactive table for group security measures that may be useful – it is based on ISO 27001 but has cross references to NIST and ISA/IEC 62443. Holstein mentioned that threat issues may be a better way to organize the matrix.	Pack

Minutes of meeting (continued) Page 3 of 3

Item no.	Notes	Action by
Report Outline and	The chair presented a report outline based on the NIST Risk Management	
Report Outline and	The chair presented a report outline based on the NIST Risk Management Framework, along with an Introduction, Baseline Standards, an Applicability Matrix and Summary. Falk stated that Education was not included in the report outline and should be a separate section. Mearns mentioned that municipals and public power utilities were not likely to have a significant staff for all of these functions. The chair discussed that this is meant to be guidance for an overworked engineer or technician that got security added to his or her responsibilities. Pruess stated that governance is also not specifically mentioned in the report outline and is likely buried in the framework. Falk mentioned that the program will need everyone's buy-in to be successful. Holstein noted that metrics are also not mentioned in the report outline. Mix discussed that perhaps the report could be turned into a guide after the Task Force has completed the first version and additional areas could be added at that time. Writing assignments completed during this meeting: Introduction – Pack, check by Falk Maturity Model: Holstein, check by Pack Baseline Standards: Holstein, check by Pack Applicability Matrix: Pack, check by Johnson Additional discussion regarding the Applicability Matrix included using C37.240 as a model. Pruess discussed the need to provide summary of frameworks for comparison. Mix asked about the construct of the matrix. The chair believes that the matrix should be a set of applicable standards on one axis and utility functions for the OT on the other, with the cells providing the standards applicable to each functional role. Pruess discussed the need to perform a rationalization of mapping roles and functions to reduce the confusion between different organizational structures. Holstein asked about any survey results to validate the need for the report. Kunzman discussed the original need for this report was driven from an IEEE Cybersecurity meeting attendee that was looking for a place to start building a cybersecurity meeting	Pack
ITEMS REPORTED OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION	for his organization, which was a municipal utility. N/A	
TIME OF FINAL ADJOURNMENT	3:22 p.m. CDT.	
NEXT FACE TO FACE MEETINGS	Unknown	
FUTURE MEETING ROOM REQUIREMENTS	Room Size: 40 Projector: Yes Web Meeting: Yes Conflicts: All PSCC S	