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Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of IEEE Std 650-2005, IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Static Battery Chargers and Inverters for Nuclear Power Generating Stations.)

This standard provides the methods of qualifying class 1E static battery chargers and inverters in accordance with IEEE Std 323-2003, IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations. The static battery chargers and inverters discussed in this standard are Class 1E. This document, however, addresses this equipment only as a subsystem in the safety related electrical system.

The techniques and information contained in this standard may be applied to other similar electronic equipment.


The efforts of the working group on this standard and its appendixes will continue for the purpose of updating and disseminating more information regarding qualification techniques. The subjects of aging and the use of surveillance/maintenance techniques to address aging will continue to be investigated, and will be among the areas considered by the working group in future revisions of this standard.
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IEEE Standard for Qualification of Class 1E Static Battery Chargers and Inverters for Nuclear Power Generating Stations

1. Scope and Purpose

1.1 Scope

This standard describes methods for qualifying static battery chargers and inverters for Class 1E installations in a mild environment outside containment in nuclear power generating stations. The application of this equipment in the plant’s electrical system is not within the scope of this standard as other industry standards, such as IEEE Std 308–2001 \[12\], IEEE Std 603–1998 \[21\], and IEEE Std 946–1992 \[23\], exist for this purpose. In addition, industry standards exist for equipment performance, such as ANSI/NEMA PE 5–2003 \[3\] and IEEE 944-1986 \[22\]. Performance requirements are not specified in this standard.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this standard is to provide specific procedures to meet the requirements of IEEE Std 323–2003 \[13\]. For the purpose of this standard, battery chargers, inverters and the associated ancillary equipment must perform their safety function under specified service conditions.

The demonstration that an installed battery charger or inverter will meet its design specification requires many steps in a program of design, fabrication, quality assurance, qualification, transportation, storage, installation, maintenance, periodic testing, and surveillance. This standard treats only the qualification area of this program. The result of the qualification program may provide a basis for determination of long-term maintenance requirements.

Qualification may be accomplished in several ways: type testing, operating experience, or analysis. These methods may be used individually or in combination. The qualification methods in this standard employ a combination of type testing and analysis. Operating experience is of limited use as a sole means of qualification. Operating experience is, however, of great use as a supplement to testing, as the experience may provide an insight into the change in behavior of materials and components through time under actual service and maintenance conditions. Qualification by analysis shall include a justification of the methods, theories, and assumptions used. In general, battery chargers and inverters are too complex to be qualified by analysis alone, although analysis is effective in the extrapolation of test data and the determination of the effects of minor design changes to equipment previously tested.

\[1\] The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the references in Section 2
2. References


[5] EPRI NP-5024, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 2, January 1987


1 EIA publications are available from the Electronic Industries Association, Engineering Department, 2001 Eye Street NW, Washington, DC 20006, USA.

2 NEMA publications are available from the National Electrical Manufacturers Association, 2101 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20037, USA.

3 EPRI reports are available from the Research Reports Center (RRC), P.O. Box 50490, Palo Alto, CA 94303, USA.

4 IEEE publications are available from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Service Center, 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08855–1331, USA.
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IEEE Std 944-1986 IEEE Recommended Practice for the Application and Testing of Uninterruptible Power Supplies for Power Generating Stations


3. Definitions

All definitions, except as specifically covered in this section, shall be in accordance with IEEE Std 100 7th Edition–[6].

battery charger: Equipment that converts ac power to dc power and is utilized to recharge and maintain a station battery in a fully charged condition and to supply power to dc loads during normal operation.

components: Items from which the equipment is assembled (e.g., resistors, capacitors, wires, connectors, semiconductors, tubes, switches, and electromechanical devices).

equipment: An assembly of components designed and manufactured to perform specific functions.

equipment qualification: The generation and maintenance of evidence to ensure that equipment will operate on demand to meet system performance requirements during normal and abnormal service conditions and postulated design basis events.

NOTE—Equipment qualification includes environmental and seismic qualification.

inverter: Equipment that converts dc power to ac power. Includes auxiliary devices such as transfer switches, alternate source transformers and regulators, input rectifiers (other than battery chargers), and isolation devices (e.g., blocking diodes).

margin: The difference between service conditions and the conditions used for equipment qualification.

mild environment: An environment that would at no time be significantly more severe than the environment that would occur during normal plant operation, including anticipated operational occurrences.

operating experience: Accumulation of verifiable service data for conditions equivalent to those for which particular equipment is to be qualified.

qualified life: The period of time, prior to the start of a design basis event, for which the equipment was demonstrated to meet the design requirements for the specified service conditions.

NOTE — At the end of the qualified life, the equipment shall be capable of performing the safety function(s) required for the postulated design-basis and post-design-basis events (IEEE Std 323–2003 [13]). In mild environments, Class 1E equipment may include components that have significant aging mechanisms. The qualification process will include information on when these aging mechanisms start, and any replacement/maintenance interval required.

4. Specifications

4.1 General

This section describes the items to be addressed in the owner’s specifications for the equipment to be qualified.
These items include the equipment identification, the Class 1E performance characteristics, the input power supply, the environmental conditions, and the effect of changes in input power supply and environmental conditions upon the Class 1E performance characteristics. If the equipment specification includes margins, as defined in Section 3, their values shall be identified.

4.2 Class 1E Performance Characteristics and Safety Function

The required Class 1E performance characteristics and the safety function shall be specified by those responsible for the design application of the equipment, and shall include, as a minimum, numerical values and durations for normal, abnormal, design-basis event (DBE), and post-design-basis event conditions, as indicated in 4.2.1–4.2.3.

4.2.1 Class 1E Performance Characteristics

1) Input conditions, such as
   a) Voltage
   b) Frequency
   c) Phase

2) Output requirements, such as
   a) Voltage and voltage regulation
   b) Current (minimum and maximum)
   c) Current limit
   d) Frequency and frequency regulation (inverters only)
   e) Load power factor (inverters only)
   f) Ripple voltage (battery chargers only)
   g) Harmonic distortion (inverters only)

3) Surge withstand capability
4) Reverse dc current flow prevention (chargers only)
5) Characteristics of auxiliary equipment (if used), including
   a) Transfer switches (functional operation, e.g., transfer time, high and low voltage actuation, and overcurrent actuation)
   b) Inverter’s input rectifier (same input conditions as battery charger)
   c) Isolating device (blocking and conducting function)
   d) Alternate source transformer and regulator (input conditions and output requirements)

4.2.2 Description of the Safety Function of Class 1E Charger or Inverter

Defined by manufacture and purchaser.

4.2.3 Qualified Life Objective (where applicable)

Defined by manufacture and purchaser.

4.3 Environment

All significant environmental parameters shall be specified in the owner’s specification. The range of environmental conditions shall include, as a minimum, normal and abnormal conditions and durations, as well as design-basis event and post-design-basis event conditions.

4.3.1

Where applicable, the equipment specification shall include numerical values for the magnitude and duration of the following service conditions:
1) Minimum and maximum, temperature including profiles if available.
2) Minimum and maximum storage temperature
3) Maximum relative humidity (operating and storage)
4) Altitude (static air pressure)
5) Operational vibration
6) Seismic requirements
7) Nuclear radiation type
8) Irradiation (dose rate and total dose)
9) Radio frequency interference (RFI) and/or electromagnetic interference (EMI) levels (i.e., the effects of the charger or inverter on other equipment, or vice versa)

4.4 Other Conditions

Where applicable, the equipment specifications shall include

1) Any significant rate of change or combinations of specified performance and environmental limits listed in 4.2 and 4.3
2) The expected total number of operating cycles or operating time period for the electromechanical devices (including periodic testing cycles)
3) Unusual atmospheric contamination (dust, oil, fungus, chemical or water spray, etc.)
4) Electrical and mechanical interfaces (e.g., input and output connections, mounting, voltages, currents, etc.)
   NOTE — As used in this document, interfaces are junctions between the Class 1E equipment to be qualified and other equipment or devices.
5) Dielectric test parameters

5. Qualification Methods

The qualification of Class 1E static battery chargers and inverters shall be determined by the qualification program outlined in this section. See Fig 1 for flow chart.

5.1 Analytical Requirements

An analysis shall be performed on all components within the charger or inverter to determine which components are required for the performance of its safety function and which components are not.

5.1.1 Nonsafety Component Analysis

A failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) shall be performed, in accordance with Section 4.2 of IEEE Std 5772004 [20], on all components presumed to be nonsafety components. The FMEA shall demonstrate that the failure of these components, as used in the circuit throughout the qualified life of the equipment, does not affect the ability of the charger or inverter to perform its safety function (see 4.2.2) or, by way of interfaces, does not affect the safety functions of other equipment. The nonsafety components shall be assembled into the sample equipment without additional analysis or testing. Any component whose failure is determined to affect the ability of the charger or inverter to perform its safety function by the FMEA shall be considered a safety component, and is addressed in 5.1.2.

5.1.2 Safety Component Analysis

Components designated as safety components are those, whose failure affects the ability of the charger or inverter to perform its safety function or, by way of interfaces, affects the safety function of other equipment. They shall be analyzed in accordance with the requirements of this section.

For guidance in performing an FMEA, consult IEEE Std 352–1987 [16].
5.1.2.1 Stress Analysis

An essential part of the qualification of this equipment is to verify the integrity of its design. Thus, as part of the qualification process, a stress analysis of the equipment shall be performed to assure that no electrical component is stressed to a point where its aging is accelerated beyond that expected in operation. Should any components be overstressed, a redesign shall be performed to correct this condition. Appendix A provides background information on this topic, as well as an example of a stress analysis.

5.1.2.2 Component Classification

All safety components within the charger or inverter shall be classified as either components for which aging is not a significant failure mechanism or components for which aging is a significant failure mechanism. An aging mechanism is significant if, in the normal and abnormal service environment, it causes degradation during the installed life of the equipment that progressively and appreciably renders the equipment vulnerable to failure to perform its safety function(s) under DBE conditions (see Section 6.2.1 of IEEE Std 323–2003 [13]). Operating experience, testing, and analysis may be utilized in this classification process.
Provide Specification Data (4.2)

Classify Components to Determine Which are Required for the Performance of the Safety Functions (5.1)

Safety Components (5.1.2)

Perform Stress Analysis (5.1.2.1)

Identify Significant Aging Mechanisms (5.1.2.2)

Determine if Significant Aging Mechanisms can be Addressed by Surveillance/Maintenance (5.2)

If Greater than Equipment Qualified Life (5.3.1.1)

Age Components to Equipment Qualified Life (5.3.1.1)

Aged Components

Assemble Components in Equipment (5.3.1.2)

Initial Inspection and Testing (5.3.2.1)*

Radiation Testing or Analysis (5.3.1.3)

Perform initial Burn-in at 100 h (5.3.1.4)

Performance Testing (5.3.1.5)*

Environmental Stress Test (5.3.1.6)*

Seismic Test (5.3.1.7)*

Performance Test (5.3.1.8)*

Conclusion of Qualification Program. Establish Qualified Life for the Equipment Where a Qualified Life Program is Required.

* Any failure requires analysis in accordance with 5.3.2

NOTES

(1) This equipment is located in a mild environment.

(2) This flowchart describes the preferred method of qualification. Production units and different ratings are qualified by analysis or further testing or both.

(3) Component qualified life equals qualified life of a specific component (e.g., capacitor, transformer, etc.).

(4) Equipment qualified life equals the qualified life of the charger or inverter.

Figure 1
Flowchart for Qualification of Class 1E Static Battery Chargers and Inverters
5.1.2.2.1

There is a significant amount of technical evidence available (see Appendixes B and C) that documents the effect of aging on the following components. If designed and manufactured with the same techniques used to manufacture the commercial grade equivalent of mil-spec components, and applied within their design rating (as determined by the stress analysis in 5.1.2.1), the aging effect is not significant within the qualified life objective of the equipment and within the typical mild environment radiation dose of 1.0E+03 rads.

For those components identified with a \( \Phi \), aging is not a significant failure mechanism, provided design and manufacture is performed with the same techniques and materials used to manufacture those components identified as exhibiting no difference in performance of unaged and aged components in EPRI NP-3326 [4] and NP-5024 [5]. Any differences between the specific components used in a charger or inverter and those identified in the EPRI reports must be justified.

NOTE — The symbol \( \Phi \) as used in this section does not refer to activation energy.

1) Electronic components*
   a) Silicon semiconductors
   b) Surge suppressors — metal-oxide varistors and silicon type
   c) Resistors
   d) Tantalum dry electrolytic capacitors
   e) Ceramic capacitors
   f) Dry paper and plastic film capacitors
   g) Mica capacitors
   h) Glass capacitors
   i) Integrated microelectronic devices
   j) Hybrid microcircuits
   k) \( \Phi \) Fuses
   l) \( \Phi \) Control and instrument transformers and inductors
   m) \( \Phi \) Control and instrument power supplies

2) Nonelectronic components.*
   a) Structural, nonwire insulating elements, and connections made of the following materials:
      1) Steel
      2) Aluminum
      3) Copper
      4) Epoxy/fiberglass laminates, NEMA Grade G-10 or G-11 equivalent
      5) Brass
      6) Ceramic
      7) Glass-filled diallyl phthalate
   b) Electromechanical components.* Aging is not a significant failure mechanism for certain specific types of the following electromechanical components in typical Class 1E battery charger or static inverter applications:
      1) \( \Phi \) Connectors
      2) \( \Phi \) Sockets (IC, Transistor, Relay)
      3) \( \Phi \) Terminal Blocks made of the following materials:
         A) DAP
         B) Melamine
         C) Nylon
         D) Nylon 6.6
         E) Glass-filled phenolic
         F) General-purpose phenolic
      4) \( \Phi \) Fuse blocks made of the following materials:
         A) Melamine
         B) X laminate
C) Glass-filled polyester
D) Phenolic
E) Polycarbonate

5) Φ Meters
6) Φ Lamp sockets
7) Φ Electronic time delay relays
8) Φ Motors
9) Φ Circuit breakers (molded case)
10) Φ Relays (general purpose) — normally de-energized
11) Φ Snap acting switches

*Radiation tolerance levels must be verified by the manufacture/qualifier.

NOTE — Appendixes B and C furnish guidance for classifying additional components as those for which aging is not a significant failure mechanism.

Justification must be provided in order to classify components not meeting the above criteria as components without significant aging mechanisms.

5.1.2.2.2

Unless documentation showing that aging is not a significant failure mechanism can be provided, it shall be assumed that the following components have significant aging mechanisms:

1) Electromechanical components such as relays, fans, contactors, and circuit breakers
2) Insulated wire
3) Power magnetic components
4) Wet electrolytic capacitors
5) Surge suppressors (selenium)
6) AC oil-filled capacitors
7) Organic materials other than the non-aging, electromechanical components listed in Section 5.1.2.2.1 (2)(b) above

5.1.2.2.3

If components or materials other than the above are used, they shall be classified into one of the above groups (5.1.2.2.1 or 5.1.2.2.2). Classification into the group in 5.1.2.2.1 shall be justified. Justifications may be accomplished by operating experience, testing, or analysis.

5.2 Component Qualification

Components with significant aging mechanisms that can be addressed by periodic inservice surveillance/maintenance need not be aged prior to the type test. To qualify components with significant aging mechanisms that cannot be addressed by periodic inservice surveillance/maintenance, the component shall be aged to the equipment qualified life objective. If the qualified life of the component is expected to be less than that of the equipment, then the component shall be aged to its qualified life (prior to the type test) based upon either operating experience or component-life test data.

5.2.1 Determination of Maintenance Replacement Interval

The replacement interval for limited-life components that cannot meet the desired equipment qualified life shall be equal to or less than their qualified life. The qualified life of a component may be extended after installation by additional testing, analysis, or operating experience.
5.2.2 Aging Techniques

Components with significant aging mechanisms shall be aged in accordance with one or more of the following techniques.

5.2.2.1 Natural Aging

Components may be taken from a field installation that has been operating for the desired period designated as the component qualified life. Documentation shall be provided to demonstrate that the installed service conditions meet or exceed the specified service conditions.

5.2.2.2 Accelerated Aging

Accelerated aging is the process of subjecting a component or equipment to stress conditions, in accordance with known measurable physical or chemical laws of degradation, in order to render its physical and electrical properties similar to those it would have at an advanced age operating under expected service conditions. The following methods are recommended for accelerated aging of components where the component has not been exempted (see 5.1.2.2.1).

5.2.2.2.1 Circuit Breakers and Electromechanical Switches

The predominant age-related failure mode of circuit breakers and switches in typical Class 1E battery charger and static inverter applications is of a mechanical fatigue nature, as induced by switching cycles (Appendix D). However, an analysis of the materials employed in this device, in accordance with 5.1.2.2, is also required. Due to the continuous operating mode of this equipment, circuit breakers and control and power switches (and their associated annunciating relays) are cycled only during testing, preventive and corrective maintenance, and plant shutdown periods. A determination of anticipated maximum number of cycles [see 4.4 (2)] during the qualified life shall be made based on the sum of the following:

1) Number of cycles required for all necessary testing prior to plant operation
2) Estimated number of equipment maintenance cycles
3) Number of customer-planned cycles for any purpose (equipment or plant maintenance, etc.)

The breakers and switches shall then be cycled for the number of cycles determined above,. Coil-insulation systems associated with the breakers and switches shall be aged as described in 5.2.2.2.3.

5.2.2.2.2 Electromechanical Relays

The predominant age-related failure modes of electromechanical relays in typical Class 1E battery charger and static inverter applications are, as a result of fatigue, due to operating cycles and failure of the coil insulation system. The operating mode of each relay shall be identified as follows:

1) Normally energized — high-duty cycle (many times per day)
2) Normally energized — low-duty cycle (relay used during maintenance and testing, etc.)
3) Normally de-energized — high-duty cycle
4) Normally de-energized — low-duty cycle

The maximum expected number of operating cycles of each relay shall be determined for the equipment qualified life based upon the relay’s use in the equipment and the same criteria in 5.2.2.2.1. All relays shall be cycled under simulated service conditions for the number of cycles determined above,. The coil-insulation system shall be aged as described in 5.2.2.2.3. An analysis of the materials employed in these devices, as described in 5.1.2.2, is also required.
5.2.2.2.3 Magnetic Components

The life of magnetic components, as used in chargers and inverters, is determined by the insulation system (see IEEE Std 259–1999 [11]). An insulation system, on which thermal evaluation has been performed and correlated temperature versus age data has been established, shall be employed. Magnetic components shall be subjected to accelerated aging to the desired qualified life in accordance with Section 3.2 of IEEE Std 259–1999 [11].

5.2.2.2.4 Wire, Cable, Terminal Blocks and Connections

Insulated wire and cable shall be qualified for temperature, humidity, and time required for normal service of this equipment by the methods described in IEEE Std 383–2003 [19]. The basis for qualification shall include pre-aging data to simulate qualified life (such as Arrhenius plots with 95% confidence limits). Wire and cable insulation used in equipment units to be qualified by type testing shall be thermally aged in accordance with this data. Where practical, wire shall be aged in harnesses with connectors and terminal blocks attached, in order to test the integrity of the connection methods employed in the aged condition. When mechanical cycling of connectors can be shown to occur very infrequently, cycling need not be considered as an aging factor for qualification. Each type of connector and terminal block used in the equipment shall be included. Interconnections shall be tested through the thermal and mechanical stresses induced by the burn-in test (see 5.3.1.4), the stress test (see 5.3.1.6), and the seismic test (see 5.3.1.7).

5.2.2.2.5 DC Electrolytic Capacitors

Accelerated aging of dc electrolytic capacitors shall be achieved by subjecting the capacitors to rated core temperature and rated working voltage for the rated life or less. The rated life is the life published by the capacitor manufacturer when the capacitor is operated within rated conditions. The acceleration factors are obtained from the capacitor manufacturer’s curves that relate the ratio of rated working voltage and core temperature to actual operating working voltage and core temperature.

5.2.2.2.6 AC Oil-Filled Capacitors

Accelerated aging of ac oil-filled capacitors for sinusoidal voltage applications shall be achieved in accordance with the life data curves in ANSI/EIA-401-73 [1], and ANSI/ EIA-454-78 [2]. Capacitors subject to nonsinusoidal voltage, or other than 60 Hz (e.g., commutating capacitors), shall be aged as described above based upon the equivalent 60 Hz sinusoidal voltage.

5.2.2.2.7 Surge Suppressors

The protection of the power and control semiconductors against transient surges across the input and output of the equipment may be accomplished through the use of surge suppressors, transzorbs, mov’s, etc. The rate of aging of surge suppressors is determined primarily by the amount and duration of the applied current. The device passes current only when transient surges are encountered. The surge suppressors shall be aged by subjecting the device to the maximum number of surges anticipated during the qualified life. Unless otherwise required in the equipment specification, the device shall be subjected to 100 surges to simulate the qualified life. The surges shall be equal to or greater than those specified in 4.2.1 (3).

5.2.2.2.8 Circuit Board Assemblies

Circuit boards may consist of devices with significant aging mechanisms and devices without significant aging mechanisms. An analysis shall be performed of all components on the board to determine if any have significant aging mechanisms. If there are no components with significant aging mechanisms on the circuit board, it does not have to be aged prior to the type test. If there are components with significant aging mechanisms on the board that cannot be addressed by surveillance/maintenance, the component that has the shortest qualified life determines the
qualified life of the board. All components with significant aging mechanisms shall be aged to the qualified life of the short-life component in accordance with the aging techniques in this section. These components may be aged on or off the circuit board. If aged off the board, care shall be taken to avoid damaging the components during assembly onto the board.

5.2.2.9 Fuses

Fuses in Class 1E battery chargers and inverters are used to protect semiconductors, instrumentation, and power and control circuits. Fuses shall be properly applied in circuits with respect to ampacity, voltage, and temperature. Specifically, an adequate temperature margin shall be provided to preclude an increase in temperature rise at the fuse or fuse holder termination beyond the fuse rating. Documentation may be provided to verify that the fuses are properly applied in the circuits with respect to ampacity, voltage, and temperature, and that adequate temperature margin has been provided to preclude an increase in temperature rise at the fuse or fuse holder termination beyond the fuse rating. If such documentation is provided, there are no age-related common-mode failure mechanisms for the fuses used. If this documentation is not available, this device may be aged by natural or accelerated methods.

5.2.2.10 Organic Materials

Arrhenius plots (see IEEE Std 101-7th Edition [9]) may be used to develop accelerated thermal aging techniques for the organic materials to be qualified. If Arrhenius plots do not exist for certain materials, an activation energy of 0.8 eV should be used as a conservative and technically justifiable value.

5.2.11 Motors, Pumps and/or other components.

Motors, pumps and/or other components may consist of materials with significant aging mechanisms. An analysis shall be performed on all materials to determine if any have significant aging mechanisms. If a component has no materials with significant aging mechanisms, it does not have to be aged prior to the type test. If a component has materials with significant aging mechanisms that can not be addressed by surveillance/maintenance, the component shall be aged in accordance with the aging techniques in this section. The material that has the shortest qualified life determines the qualified life of the component.

5.3 Equipment Qualification

Section 6.3.1.7 of IEEE Std 323–2003 [13], outlines a sequence in which type testing may be performed. For equipment with components with significant aging mechanisms that cannot be addressed by surveillance/maintenance techniques, this sequence is not followed in this standard, due to the variation in aging rates of the components. Since the equipment is to be assembled of aged components, testing of the sample equipment must come after the components have been aged and the assembly is complete. The type test sequence in this section is conservative in that the components are subjected to additional stresses after aging. With the inclusion of the seismic test, this conservatism is sufficient to account for reasonable uncertainties in demonstrating satisfactory performance and normal variations in commercial production, and thus assure that the equipment can perform under the most adverse condition specified.

5.3.1 Type Test

The type test sequence shall be conducted as follows.

5.3.1.1

Components shall be analyzed and, where required, aged to their respective qualified life or the equipment qualified life, whichever is less, in accordance with 5.2.

5.3.1.2

New (nonaged) and age-conditioned components shall be assembled into a complete piece of equipment in
accordance with applicable production procedures. Mechanical inspection, dielectric testing [see 4.4 (5)], and functional testing for normal conditions (see 4.2) shall be performed. When applicable the ability of the equipment to operate within the levels of RFI/EMI specified in 4.3.1 (9) shall be demonstrated by analysis, testing, or both.

5.3.1.3

Since the battery charger or inverter is located in a mild environment, only low levels (typically less than \(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\) rads, total integrated dose) of radiation are encountered. Documentation (analysis or testing) shall be provided to demonstrate that the ability of the equipment to perform its required function is unaffected by the radiation dose specified in 4.3.1 (7 and 8).

5.3.1.4

The equipment shall be subjected to minimum burn-in of 100 h (50 h at full load, 50 h at minimum specified load) at room ambient temperature. The burn-in places the equipment into its normal installed condition and is intended to eliminate infant mortality failures.

5.3.1.5

In order to establish a reference for the measurement of operating parameters and a valid basis for the comparison of test results, the complete equipment shall be subjected to the conditioning process as follows.

Place the equipment in an environmental test chamber that has the capability of being varied both in temperature and humidity over the required service conditions. With the chamber set at an ambient temperature of \(25 \pm 5^\circ C\) and prevailing relative humidity, operate the equipment at full load for a period of 2 h, and document functional performance data for normal conditions [see 4.2.1 (1 and 2)(a, b, and d)]. This data shall be analyzed for conformance to the Class 1E performance characteristics and utilized as reference data for the continued tests to follow. Calibration adjustments may be made to the equipment at this time.

5.3.1.6

In order to demonstrate that the equipment will meet its specified Class 1E performance characteristics under the specified service conditions (as required by IEEE Std 323–2003 [13]), refer to Fig 2 and perform the following stress test to the fully loaded equipment in the test chamber.

1) Allow the chamber to increase to the maximum temperature and maximum relative humidity specified in the service conditions (see 4.3). The equipment shall be operated at this level for a period of 8 h, at the end of which functional performance data [see 4.2.1 (1 and 2)(a, b, and d)] at maximum, nominal, and minimum input voltages, and maximum and minimum loads shall be documented.

2) Allow the chamber to decrease to the minimum temperature specified in the service conditions (see 4.3) and maximum obtainable relative humidity (50% minimum). The equipment shall be operated at this level for a period of 8 h at the end of which functional performance data [see 4.2.1 (1 and 2)(a, b, and d)] at maximum, nominal, and minimum input voltages, and maximum and minimum loads shall be documented.

3) A complete cycle, including the transition period, shall last a maximum of 36 h. At the end of the test cycle, the equipment shall be allowed to stabilize at room ambient temperature and humidity, and a final set of functional performance data [see 4.2.1 (1 and 2)(a, b, and d)] at maximum, nominal, and minimum input voltages, and maximum and minimum loads shall be documented. The above stress test is described in Fig 2.

This test subjects the complete equipment to the worst-case and nominal conditions of temperature, humidity, input voltages, and output loads (input frequency variations have no impact on stressing the equipment).
5.3.1.7

The ability of the equipment to withstand the operational vibration requirements specified in 4.3.1 (5) shall be demonstrated by analysis, testing, or both. Since this equipment is located in a mild environment, seismic is the only design-basis event (DBE) of consequence. The equipment shall therefore be seismically qualified according to IEEE Std 344-1987 [15]. The seismic acceleration levels shall include, as a minimum, +10% for margin for SSE test (see Section 4.1). If tested, the equipment shall be operated during and after the seismic test at rated output and specified input voltage.

5.3.1.8

Upon successful completion of these tests, a functional test shall be performed to meet the Class 1E performance requirements for normal conditions specified in 4.2, and the equipment shall be considered qualified.

5.3.2 Acceptance Criteria

Should any failure occur during test steps 5.3.1.2, 5.3.1.3, or 5.3.1.4. the defective component shall be replaced with a component that has been subjected to the same aging as the component that it replaces. In the evaluation of the type test results, any sample equipment is considered to have passed when the equipment meets or exceeds the function required by the equipment specification (see Section 4).

Any failure occurring during the testing and qualification process shall be analyzed to determine if it is of random or common cause origin. The failure shall be determined not to be of common cause origin if one of the following criteria is met:

1) Physical examination of the failed component(s) and its interface(s) determines that a random workmanship problem was the cause of failure.

2) Reexamination of the stress analysis determines that the part is properly applied and any components similarly applied in the test sample have had no like failures and the failure is not repeated during subsequent retesting with replacement components.
NOTE — For purposes of this standard, consequential component failures caused by the failure of a single component are not considered to be of common cause origin.

If the above or other methods have not identified the cause of failure, further analysis must be conducted.

If a failure is determined not to be of common cause origin, the equipment shall be repaired with replacement components that have been subjected to the same aging as those that it replaces (see 5.2). If the type test is continued, then it shall commence at the beginning of the specific test during which it failed.

If a failure is determined to be common cause (either age-related or stress-related), the equipment shall be rejected. Qualification of the equipment may be attained by redesigning, modifying, and retesting as above, or qualifying for less stringent conditions by retesting to lower parameters (e.g., shorter qualified component or equipment life, or lower seismic values).

5.4 Qualification of a Product Line

It is possible to qualify a product line (that is, chargers or inverters of a similar design of assorted ratings) by utilizing all of the following techniques:

1) Perform a type test on a sample equipment in accordance with 5.3.1.

2) Perform a complete analysis of components of the other model ratings, in accordance with 5.1, to demonstrate that no component of the type aged and qualified in the type tests is stressed at a rate higher than that in the qualified model, to the extent that a different aging acceleration would have to be employed. Should the analysis determine that either a different aging acceleration test is necessary or an entirely new generic type of part be employed, the part shall be aged and seismic tested as a component or assembly to a level equivalent to the previous qualification level. NOTE — Different ratings of the same component family are considered type-qualified if the applied stress does not exceed that in the qualification model.

3) Verify that the service conditions to which the qualified unit was tested are at least as severe as those specified of the unit being qualified.

4) Each model rating shall be seismically qualified by testing or analysis, or both, in accordance with IEEE Std 344-1987 [15] and a determination shall be made that the acceleration of components or assemblies does not exceed that of the qualified model.

5.5 Extension of Qualified Life

The methods described in Section 6.3.5 of IEEE Std 323–2003 [13] are applicable for extending the qualified life of Class 1E static chargers and inverters.

6. Documentation

6.1 General

The following documents are required to verify that the Class 1E static battery charger or inverter is qualified for its application, meets the specification requirements of Section 4, and has its qualified life or periodic surveillance/maintenance interval established.

6.2 Qualification Plan

The qualification plan shall contain a description of the methods and procedures used to qualify a particular Class 1E static charger or inverter for a specific application. The plan shall contain the following:

1) Identification of the equipment to be qualified, including mounting and interface requirements if applicable

2) Qualification procedures applicable to the equipment to be qualified

3) Details on the differences between the equipment to be qualified and equipment that is type tested, and the methods used to justify those differences
4) Description of the acceptance criteria for the equipment to be qualified
5) Description of the safety function of the equipment to be qualified
6) Where applicable, the qualified life objective of the equipment to be qualified

This plan is generally submitted to the purchaser for approval and to ensure consistency between the type-tested equipment and the equipment to be qualified.

6.3 Qualification Report

The qualification report shall contain the following:

1) Equipment Specifications (see Section 40)
2) Identification of specific features to be demonstrated by the analysis and testing
3) Qualification plan (see 6.2)
4) Qualification results, which shall include:
   a) Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) for nonsafety related components, if applicable (see 5.1.1).
   b) Stress analysis (see 5.1.2.1).
   c) Documentation for classification for component qualification (5.1.2.2).
   d) Identification of any scheduled surveillance/maintenance, periodic testing, and any parts replacement required to maintain qualification.
   e) Test data, aging data (where applicable) for age sensitive components, accuracy and instrument calibration for each test described in 5.3.1. A seismic test report or analysis shall be furnished.
   f) Documentation for radiation analysis or test (see 5.3.1.3).
   g) Analysis for any failure or anomaly occurring during the qualification type test.
   h) Any shelf life requirements.
   i) Where applicable, identification of equipment qualified life with a summary of justification for the qualified life.
   j) Where applicable, extension of qualified life data.

6.4 Qualification of Product Line

The qualification report (see 6.3) may provide a basis for qualifying Class 1E static battery chargers and inverters of various sizes and ratings. Documentation shall be provided which verifies that such analysis is performed in accordance with 5.4.

6.5 Additional Documentation Requirements

1) Certificate of compliance. A certificate of compliance that certifies that the equipment supplied meets the requirements of the owner’s specification is required.
2) Approval signature and date. Each of the above documents shall include an approval signature and date.
3) Qualification report. The qualification report shall include, in addition, the approval signature of an independent reviewer and date.
Annex A Stress Analysis

(Informative)

A1. Introduction

This Appendix outlines a stress analysis procedure and provides an example for performing the stress analysis required by 5.1.2.1. Other procedures, if properly justified, may be used.

A2. Objectives

The primary purpose of the stress analysis, as part of the qualification process, is to ensure that no component is stressed to a point where its aging is accelerated beyond that in expected service conditions. The stress analysis will indicate where redesign is required for any overstressed components. In addition, the stress analysis will provide a data base for generic product line qualification, enabling a direct design comparison of other ratings with that originally qualified.

A3. Definitions

stress analysis: An electrical and thermal design analysis of component applications in specific circuits under the specified range of service conditions.

A4. Procedure

A4.1 Analysis

An electrical, thermal, and part-stress analysis of the components of each charger or inverter to be qualified should be performed in accordance with MIL-HDBK-217E-1986, Reliability Prediction of Electronic Equipment (see B3.2).

1) For stress analysis to be valid, manufacturer’s ratings should never be exceeded.
2) Semiconductors should be analyzed for both thermal and voltage stress.
3) Capacitors should be analyzed for voltage stress.
4) Resistors should be analyzed for thermal stress.
5) Fuses should be analyzed for voltage and thermal stress.

The stress analysis should be performed, assuming an ambient air-inlet temperature of 25 °C, or the maximum, plus the worst-case internal temperature rise for the inverter or charger (normally, 5 °C–10 °C). Design information should be obtained from the charger or inverter schematic drawings, assembly drawings, list of materials, parts catalogs, and data sheets.

The analysis method described above consists of determining electrical stress, thermal stress, and failure rates of system components based on the proper selection and use of each component and the environment in which the equipment is to be used.

Stress analysis should be performed in accordance with Section 5.1 of MIL-HDBK-217E-1986 (see B3.2).

A4.2 Calculations

In performing the electrical stress analysis, each circuit in the charger or inverter should be analyzed in detail. Equivalent circuits may be used to determine loop currents and node voltages. From these currents and voltages, applied stress can be obtained. All stress calculations should be made in accordance with the methods outlined in MIL-HDBK-217E-1986 (see B3.2). The stress ratios are defined as follows:
For semiconductors:

\[
\text{wattage applied} \quad \frac{\text{stress ratio}}{\text{wattage rated}} = \frac{\text{volts applied}}{\text{volts rated}}
\]

For resistors:

\[
\text{wattage applied} \quad \frac{\text{stress ratio}}{\text{wattage rated}} = \frac{\text{volts applied}}{\text{volts rated}}
\]

See Appendix B3. for the minimum applied stress ratios.

**Table A.1**
Sample Stress Analysis Data Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Designation</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description or Part Number</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Stress</th>
<th>Stress Ratio</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR122</td>
<td>SiDIODE, RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>MILS-19500</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR123</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR125</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N5352B</td>
<td>5W</td>
<td>0.27W</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR126</td>
<td>VR</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.2A</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR127</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.2A</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR128</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR130</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR131</td>
<td>VR</td>
<td>1N7534</td>
<td>400mW</td>
<td>55mW</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR132</td>
<td>SIG</td>
<td>1N914</td>
<td>75mW</td>
<td>&lt;1mA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR133</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR137</td>
<td>RECT</td>
<td>1N4004</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR138</td>
<td>VR</td>
<td>1N5352B</td>
<td>6W</td>
<td>0.57W</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR139</td>
<td>SiDIODE, VR</td>
<td>1N5352B</td>
<td>1111S-19500</td>
<td>5W</td>
<td>0.57W</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIM</td>
<td>SiDIODE, R BRIDGE</td>
<td>MDA990-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5A</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1x4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature: 35 °C

Environment: GF
**Table A.2**  
Sample Stress Analysis Data Sheet

**System:** INV 253-1-101  
**Assembly:** DC-DC Converter Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference Designation</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description or Part Number</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Stress Ratio</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R122</td>
<td>RESISTOR, CC</td>
<td>10 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>17 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R123</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>162 kit</td>
<td>RN60</td>
<td>MILR-10509</td>
<td>125 mW</td>
<td>60 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R124</td>
<td>MF</td>
<td>13.7 kit</td>
<td>RN60</td>
<td>MILR-10509</td>
<td>125 mW</td>
<td>7 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R125</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>80 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R126</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>3 mW</td>
<td>2 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R127</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>100 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>90 mW</td>
<td>8 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R128</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>2.2 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>17 mW</td>
<td>1 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R129</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>470 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>20 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R130</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>10 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MILR-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>20 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R131</td>
<td>WW</td>
<td>0.68 i2</td>
<td>CW5</td>
<td>MIL-R-26</td>
<td>5 W</td>
<td>0.68 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R132</td>
<td>WW</td>
<td>0.68 i2</td>
<td>CW5</td>
<td>MIL-R-26</td>
<td>5 W</td>
<td>0.68 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R133</td>
<td>WW</td>
<td>0.68 i2</td>
<td>CW5</td>
<td>MIL-R-26</td>
<td>5 W</td>
<td>0.68 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R134</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1 kit</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MIL-R-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>4 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R135</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>390 i2</td>
<td>RC42</td>
<td>MIL-R-11</td>
<td>2 W</td>
<td>0.58 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R136</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>470f1</td>
<td>RC20</td>
<td>MIL-R-11</td>
<td>500 mW</td>
<td>20 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R137</td>
<td>RESISTOR, WW</td>
<td>i ki2</td>
<td>CW10</td>
<td>MIL-26</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>2.5 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For capacitors:

\[
\text{stress ratio} = \frac{\text{volts applied}}{\text{volts rated}}
\]

Finally, the stress correction factor for each semiconductor device should be determined based on maximum junction temperature \( T_m \) and operating temperature \( T_s \):

\[
\text{Stress correction factor (CF)} = \frac{T_m - T_s}{150}
\]

Component stress should be calculated assuming that all possible modes of circuit operation may be used continuously. Worst-case operating mode conditions should be used. Since worst case cannot occur for all components simultaneously, the result of the analysis will be conservative.

**A4.3 Stress Analysis Data**

The results of the stress analysis should be tabulated in a form similar to that shown in Tables A.1 and A.2. These stress analysis data sheets should list all system electrical components by assembly or printed circuit board, or both. Components should be arranged by type and circuit application. Identical components utilized such that identical maximum stress occurs, may be listed together by symbol numbers in the first column, yielding a part quantity. The component MIL style designations are listed along with a brief description, permitting identification. Where MIL designations are not available, the accepted industry type or company source control drawing should be listed. Capacitor values are listed in \( \mu \text{F} \) and pF. Resistors values are in \( \Omega \), and stress is in mW, unless otherwise noted.
Annex B Electronic Components for Which Aging is Not a Failure Mechanism

(Informative)

B1. Introduction

Aging is not a significant failure mechanism for certain electronic components in typical Class 1E static battery charger and inverter applications.

When applied within their design rating, the aging of electronic components occurs at such a low rate that its effect on failure rate is undetectable. Silicon-base semiconductors, for example, never wear out if constructed and used according to specifications. All semiconductors, however, contain manufacturing imperfections (e.g., at the bonding junction) that eventually cause failure. Most devices have only slight imperfections that allow a lengthy service life. About one percent have defects that cause early infant mortality failures. The burn-in requirement is used to eliminate as many of these devices as possible.

B2. Failure Rate History for Components

To illustrate the failure rate history of these electronic components, refer to Fig B.1. This bathtub curve has three characteristic sections. The first section reflects a high failure rate due to early failures of weak or defective components. The components are not representative of the longevity of the others, and are usually eliminated from use by subjecting the sample to a preliminary period of operation, often referred to as a burn-in period. During this period, the initially high failure rate will continue to decrease until it reaches a value for which it remains relatively constant with respect to time. The burn-in period is of short duration, typically 30–100 h. The second section of the failure-rate time history curve represents the random failure-rate value of the component sample where none of the systematic failure mechanisms are operating, such as early defects or wearout failures. The duration of this section is several thousand times as long as the burn-in period. The third section of the bathtub curve is the beginning of the wearout failure mechanism for the component. Since the desired equipment qualified life falls within the area of the curve in which the electronic component failure rate is constant, the failure rate of a new (burned-in) component is essentially equal to the failure rate of a component aged to the equipment qualified life. That is, the wearout period for electronic components falls beyond the equipment qualified life.
While it is true that extended extremes of temperature and humidity can alter this non-aging characteristic, this Appendix applies only to applications in a mild environment, where the temperature and humidity will remain within the specified service conditions. Thus, aging within the qualified life period is not a significant failure mechanism.

B3. Bibliography — Electronic Components

An extensive bibliography has been assembled to justify the non-aging concept presented here.

NOTE — References that contain specific conclusions that support the non-aging concept are followed by an asterisk.

B3.1 Non-aging Concept for Electronic Components

EPRI NP-3326, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 1, December 1983.*

EPRI NP-5024. Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 2, January 1987*


Copyright © 2005 IEEE All Rights Reserved
B3.2 Silicon Semiconductors

The statements made in the references below are based upon actual test data on Mil-Spec as well as commercial grade components. This bibliography does not require that Mil-Spec components be used, as long as they are components that have been manufactured using the same techniques as those used to manufacture the equivalent Mil-Spec components. For the purpose of this document, Joint Electron Device Engineering Council (JEDEC) components are considered to be acceptable commercial grade equivalent Mil-Spec components.


EPRI NP-3326, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 1, Dec. 1983.*

EPRI NP-5024. Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 2, Jan. 1987.*


NOTE — This document contains an extensive bibliography.


---

7 Joint Electron Device Council, 2001 Eye Street NW, Washington, DC 20006, USA.
8 MIL documents are available from the Commanding Officer, Naval Publications and Forms Center, 5801 Tabor Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19120, USA.


NOTE — This paper contains an extensive bibliography.


### Table B1

#### Resistors

**a) Fixed Resistor Selection Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Styles available in standard</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Styles available in standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Composition (insulated)</td>
<td></td>
<td>302</td>
<td>Film, established reliability</td>
<td>RNR50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RNR55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-10509)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RNR60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Film (high stability)</td>
<td>RN75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RNR65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-11804)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RNR70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Film (power type)</td>
<td>RD60</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>Wire-wound (accurate), established reliability</td>
<td>RBR52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-11804)</td>
<td>RD65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-39005)</td>
<td>RD70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Wire-wound (accurate)</td>
<td>RBR56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RBR72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Wire-wound (power type)</td>
<td>RW29</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>Wire-wound (power type), established reliability</td>
<td>RWR74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-26)</td>
<td>RW31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RWR78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RWR80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RWR81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RWR84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RWR89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RW56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Film (insulated)</td>
<td>E203</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>Film (insulated) established reliability</td>
<td>RLR05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-22684)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RLR07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RLR20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RLR32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RLR42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Wire-wound (power type, chassis mount)</td>
<td>RE77</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>Wire-wound (power type, chassis mount), established reliability</td>
<td>RER40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-18546)</td>
<td>RE80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-39003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>Composition (insulated), established reliability</td>
<td>RCR05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(MIL-R-39008)</td>
<td>RCR07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RCR20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RCR32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RCR42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RER75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B3.3 Resistors

These resistors meet the nonaging criteria when they are applied within their wattage ratings as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Applied Stress in Percent of Rated Watts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire bound</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE — The above stress values were obtained from MIL-Std-199B-1974, Selection and Use of Resistors.

Various grades of resistors, from Mil-Spec to commercial grade, are available for use in Class 1E charger/inverter applications. The non-aging criteria apply to the resistors in Table B1 as long as they are used within their wattage ratings as stated above and manufactured with techniques used to manufacture the equivalent Mil-Spec resistors.

NOTE — Mil-Spec resistors are not required by this document.

Table B1 — Resistors
(b) Variable Resistor Selection Guide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Styles available in standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201 (MIL-R-94)</td>
<td>Composition (insulated)</td>
<td>RV4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 (MIL-R-19)</td>
<td>Wire-wound (low operating temperature)</td>
<td>RA20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 (MIL-R-22)</td>
<td>Wire-wound (power Type)</td>
<td>RA20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204 (MIL-R-12934)</td>
<td>Wire-wound, precision</td>
<td>RR0900, RR1000, RR1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205 (MIL-R-39002)</td>
<td>Wire-wound, semi precision</td>
<td>RK09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206 (MIL-R-27208)</td>
<td>Wire-wound (lead screw actuated)</td>
<td>RT26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207 (MIL-R-22097)</td>
<td>Nonwire-wound (lead screw actuated)</td>
<td>RJ12, RJ22, RJ24, RJ26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance Type</td>
<td>Lead Screw Actuated</td>
<td>RJC5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwire-wound</td>
<td></td>
<td>RTR12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire-wound</td>
<td>(lead screw actuated)</td>
<td>RTR24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B3.4 Tantalum Dry Electrolytic Capacitors**

EPRI NP-3326, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 1, Dec. 1983.*

EPRI NP-5024, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 2, Jan. 1987*


Stout, H. L. “Extended Life Test of Solid Electrolyte Tantalum Capacitors.” Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ (037620).*

**B3.5 Capacitors (Ceramic, Paper, Plastic Film, Mica, Glass)**

With the exception of oil-filled type paper or plastic film capacitors, the non-aging criteria applies, provided the capacitors are manufactured using the same techniques used in manufacturing the equivalent Mil-Spec components listed in Table B2. For additional information see Mil-Std-198D-1976, Selection and Use of Capacitors.

NOTE — This standard does not require the use of Mil-Spec components.
B3.6 Integrated Microelectronic Devices and Hybrid Microcircuits

EPRI NP-3326. Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 1, Dec. 1983.*

EPRI NP-5024. Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components—Phase 2, Jan. 1987.*

NOTE — This document contains an extensive bibliography.


### Table B2 — Capacitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dielectric</th>
<th>Applicable Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>MIL-C-23269 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>MIL-C-14409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable 1</td>
<td>MIL-C-10950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica</td>
<td>MIL-C-39001 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General purpose</td>
<td>MIL-C-39003 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolytic</td>
<td>MIL-C-55365 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum (solid)</td>
<td>MIL-C-39003 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum (solid) chip</td>
<td>MIL-C-55365 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>MIL-C-12889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wax-impregnated</td>
<td>MIL-C-39022 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallized</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper-Plastic</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; polyethylene</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terephthalate</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic or metallized plastic</td>
<td>MIL-C-55514 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
<td>MIL-C-19978 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>MIL-C-11015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed, general purpose</td>
<td>MIL-C-39014 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp compensating</td>
<td>MIL-C-20 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>MIL-C-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed, chip</td>
<td>MIL-C-55681 (ER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas or vacuum</td>
<td>MIL-C-23183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>MIL-C-23183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>MIL-C-23183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex C

Nonelectronic Components for Which Aging is not a Failure Mechanism

(Informative)

C1. General

Aging is not a failure mechanism for certain nonelectronic components used as structural, nonwire insulating elements, and connections (in typical Class 1E charger/inverter applications) that are processed using approved methods. The quality assurance procedures required for nuclear safety applications by ASME NQA-1-1986, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities (see C2.1), and 10-CFR-50, Regulations Relating to Commerce and Foreign Trade, Appendix B (see C2.1), provide for stringent controls of such processes as welding, soldering and crimping, and assembly and finishing. One of the purposes of these controls is to assure that no degradation of structural integrity occurs to mechanical parts, fasteners, and the like. In addition, IEEE Std 344-1987 [12] specifically requires that equipment that is to be qualified be subjected to requirements that simulate the effects of structural-related aging on the equipment. The following components do not have a significant age-related failure mechanism when used in Class 1E static battery chargers and inverters:

1) Aluminum
2) Brass
3) Ceramic
4) Copper
5) Steel

C2. Bibliography — Nonmetallic, Nonelectronic Components

An extensive bibliography has been assembled to justify that certain nonmetallic components, as used in typical Class 1E charger/inverter applications, do not have age-related failure mechanisms within a service life of 40 years.

NOTE — References that contain specific conclusions that support the non-aging concept are followed by an asterisk.

C2.1 Epoxy Fiberglass Grade G-10 and G-11 or Equivalent (not Exposed to Bright Light for Prolonged Periods)

ASME NQA-1-1986, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities. 9

EPRI NP-3326, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 1, Dec. 1983.*

EPRI NP-5024, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 2, Jan. 1987.*


9 ASME publications are available from the Order Department, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 22 Law Drive, Box 2300, Fairfield, NJ 07007-2300, USA.


C2.2 Glass-Filled Diallyl Phthalate

ASME NQA-1-1986, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facilities.


EPR1 NP-3326, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 1, Dec. 1983.*

EPR1 NP-5024, Correlation Between Aging and Seismic Qualification for Nuclear Plant Electrical Components — Phase 2, Jan. 1987.*


Proceedings of the Tenth Electrical/Electronics Insulation Conference. IEEE 1974, pub no 71C 38-EI.*


10 Federal regulations are available from the Government Printing Office, 732 N. Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20401, USA.

11 RADC documents are available from Customer Services Staff, NTIS—US Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, USA.
Annex D

Discussion of Failure Mechanisms in Electromechanical Devices

(Informative)

The predominant cause of failure of electromechanical devices used in chargers and inverters is cycle-induced fatigue. This applies to relays, switches (including contactors), and circuit breakers when applied properly in the design in terms of electrical stress. This statement applies to electromechanical devices using approved materials of the types described in Appendix C, or other qualified components. Thus, an analysis, in accordance with 5.1.2, of the materials employed in the devices is required.

Some concern has been voiced about relays and other devices that, after being kept in the same state (energized or de-energized) for a period of years, are called upon to act, only to be found frozen into position. This may not apply to chargers and inverters for the following reasons:

1) Routine plant maintenance of batteries to which the chargers and inverters are connected may require that the equipment be turned on and off, as well as disconnected, one or two times a year. This action would cycle all the devices in questions.

2) Maintenance replacements of limited-life items may occur every few years, again cycling these devices.

These electromechanical devices have typically been endurance tested by the device manufacturer for tens and hundreds of thousands of operations. As applied in battery chargers and inverters, these devices will typically be subjected to only a few hundred operations over their expected qualified life. The actual operating duty is therefore only a small fraction of the tested life of the device, and thus provides a very high design margin.

As a result of the above, cycling these electromechanical devices to the total number of anticipated cycles during the qualified life period under equivalent stress (load) conditions, along with the final temperature-humidity seismic testing of the equipment itself, will provide a reasonable simulation of expected condition at the end of the qualified life period.
Annex E
Cycling of Connectors

(Informative)

In Class 1E battery chargers and static inverters, wire and cable harnesses, and their associated connectors and terminal blocks, are fixed objects after they have passed final inspection and acceptance by the customer. Connector disconnect and reconnect may occur on a very low duty cycle basis. This can be demonstrated by performing mean time between failure (MTBF) calculations on the associated circuit board assemblies to determine their replacement interval. There is no planned maintenance requirement for operation of the connectors or terminal blocks. Thus, cycling of these devices, as employed in this equipment, is not an age-related failure mechanism. For more information, refer to IEEE Std 572-1985, IEEE Standard Qualification of Class 1E Connection Assemblies for Nuclear Power Generating Stations.

\[1^2\] See Footnote 5.