#### Segmentation

Harrison K. Clark Presented by: Dennis Woodford

#### Segmentation

Use dc to segment large ac grids into smaller synchronous ac segments

□ Precedent:

- Texas
- Hydro Quebec
- WECC-Eastern Interconnection
- Norway
- India
- Australia (Tasmania)

#### Segmentatio n

- Propose ac islands of (roughly) 10GW to 60GW
  - Convert existing longer regional ac ties to HVDC
    - Bipole
    - Tripole
  - Insert back-to-back dc converters in remaining ac ties
    - Conventional dc
    - Voltage Source Converter, or
    - Variable Frequency Transformers

#### Example



#### **Boundary Selection Criteria:**

#### Boundaries formed according to:

- Locations that require least back-to-back MVA
- Locations that break up stability limited paths
- Congested paths that need more transfer capability
- Where longer DC lines can be formed from existing ac lines
- Political boundaries where practical
- □ An optimization problem!

#### Select segment boundaries



## Form synchronous ac segments (islands)

#### Concatenate some ac circuits to make longer dc lines



#### Future – add long haul HVDC lines

**\$** 

₩



#### Why Segmentation?

- Difficulties with Existing Large ac Grids:
  - Disturbances can propagate across ac grids
  - Vulnerability to simple sabotage strategies
  - Transfer capability limited by:
    - Angular stability, voltage stability
    - Loop flows
    - N-1 and N-2 contingencies
  - Limited ROW power densities (relative to dc)

- Reduced risk of widespread blackouts
- Intra-segment blackout risk is lower
- Cascading/collapse is limited to one segment
- Reduced operational complexity & uncertainty
- Inter-area power flows where it is directed
  - Schedule for lower ac losses
  - Schedule for best use of ac line capability

Substantial increases in transfer capability

- Conversion of ac to dc
  - Bipole
  - Tripole
- Back-to-back converters control flow on upstream and downstream ac system
  - Back-to-back tie and dc line power can be stepped down to cover upstream and downstream ac contingencies
    - By operator for N-1 (prepare for next N-1)
    - By SPS for N-2 (automatic)
    - Allows higher loadings of adjacent ac circuits

Facilitates grid planning & investment decisions

- More predictable capability of upgrades
- More predictable impacts & usability of upgrades
- Stability is no longer an issue (some intrasegment )
- Market benefits
  - Enhanced commodity values and trading opportunities
  - Simpler and more easily applied and policed market rules
  - Less uncertainty over rights to transmission upgrade capacity

Makes WAMS easier and more effective

- Intelligent/self-healing grid becomes feasible
- State Estimators perform much better
  - Finite segments
  - Simple neighboring system model (boundary flows)
  - SE based applications far more useful & reliable

#### Existing Interconnection Benefits Remain

Traditional benefits:

- Generation sharing
- Reserve sharing
- Emergency response
- Firm transfers
- Economy transfers

□ Most are increased or improved

#### Power Can Be More Precisely Scheduled:

- □ For lowest losses
- Where intra-segment line capacity is available
- □ Where total transfer can be maximized
- To accommodate intra-segment maintenance
- Power can be routed via multiple segments
- □ For market management
- Involves multiple segments ... requires coordination

 "Governors" on dc lines and back-to-back converters replace Kirchoff's laws, synchronizing power, powerangle, etc.

- Loss of generation in segment A
- Frequency drops in segment A, generators in A respond, ties to adjoining segments ramp up
- Segment B frequency decays, generators in B respond, ties to adjoining segments ramp up
- Segment C frequency decays, generators in C respond, ties to adjoining segments ramp up, etc.
- UFLS may occur in segment A; might also be allowed in segment B for a problem in A



- □ More and larger frequency excursions
  - A bad thing?
  - No, a good thing!
  - Just ask the folks in Texas
  - When system frequency excursions occur .....
    - Generator governors and firing systems are exercised
    - Generator response problems are identified and fixed
    - Governing and boiler equipment is adjusted for best performance
  - Generator response is more predictable and reliable

- dc tie governors limit assistance to neighbors;
  - Within spinning reserve and/or UFLS tolerance
  - Within thermal/voltage capability of ties and associated ac circuits
  - Different limits for each direction
- Bottom line -- Provide as much assistance as you can without jeopardizing your own system
- Neighboring segment allowed to collapse without taking neighboring segments with it

- □ Automatic central control?
  - Not essential, but interesting possibilities
  - Local controls must backup central controls
    - Pre-set tie governor dp/df and maximum power
- Many central control possibilities
  - For steady state optimization
    - Minimize losses
    - Maximize total flow capability (normal or emergency assistance)
    - Accommodate intra-segment constraint(s)
  - For emergency response (fast, automatic)
    - Reroutes power upon local problem
    - Responds to requests from neighboring segments
      - More or less transfer (before frequency decays)
      - Different route (to accommodate grid problems in neighboring segment)

#### Cost

- Huge cost, huge benefits
- □ Who pays?
  - Private party investment for incremental transfer capability
    - a business opportunity
  - Public investment for increased reliability
    - Resistance to malevolent attack (Federal)
    - Social benefits of fewer and lesser blackouts
  - Market benefits?

# More to Think About ... Is grid reliability dropping?

- Every line and transformer addition or upgrade loads upstream, downstream, and parallel lines and transformers more heavily;
  - Average line loading is thus increasing over time, and this
  - Increases stress on the grid, making N-1 events more likely
- The same additions/upgrades also lead to uniformly heavily loaded lines thus;
  - Leading to multiple limiting N-1 contingencies
  - And multiple "most heavily impacted" elements
- □ This combination leads to;
  - The system is "tested" more frequently
  - Each test has higher risk of compounding events and cascading
- Beyond the above, an increasing share of N-2 events are troublesome

# More to Think About . . .

#### Heavily loaded systems

- Huge reactive supply and losses
- Increasingly difficult reactive balance
- Greater increase in I<sup>2</sup>X losses upon N-1 events

More to Think About . . .

 Criteria/Standards are not being adjusted to accommodate these effects

- □ Can we halt the reliability decay?
- Is Segmentation the answer or part of the answer?

