| Category | Page | iubclause | Line | Comment | Mus | Proposed Change | Disposition Status | Disposition Detail |
|------------------------|------|-----------|----------|---|-----------|--|-----------------------|---|
| Technical | 1 | 1.1 | | Change "This standard explores" to "This recommended practice explores". This document is a recommended practice, not a standard. In IEEE-SA usage "standards" can include standards, recommended practices, and guides. But, few persons using these documents understand this nicety. The scope must state "recommended practice", not "standard". | | Change "This standard explores" to "This recommended practice explores". | Rejected | The scope was approved by the IEEE-SA New Standards Committee at the time the PAR was approved and cannot be changed except by a request made to the committee. |
| Technical | 2 | 4 | 20 | Engineer should obtain from the Utility company a 'range" of fault current that the utility may provide under fault conditions. Not just the usual maximum. If this is not addressed elsewhere in this document then address here. Though this is not a document the utility company will feel obliged to comply with, listing the requirement here may give the design engineer additional support when attempting to obtain the information from the utility company. | Yes | Add the following: The engineer should obtain from the utility company a range for fault current available at the point where the utility connects. Obtaining only the maximum theoretical value is not enough. It is important to also know what the possible minimum fault current available is as well. | Revised | Added the proposed text with some minor editing. |
| Editorial | 2 | 4.2.1 | | The term 'customer' is used here, presumably in reference to the owner of the industrial or commercial facility. However, the word 'customer' actually refers to the role of one of the parties in a commercial relationship. However, the commercial relationships that may exist in between the owner of the industrial or commercial facility, the grid host and the energy supplier may be so complex that the term 'customer' is misleading. It is suggested that the document be edited to replace the term 'customer' with terminology that avoids any reference to a commercial relationship between parties. | No | It is suggested that the document be edited to replace the term 'customer' with terminology that avoids any reference to a commercial relationship between parties. One possible solution would be to preface the discussion by defining the roles of the parties in the relationship. | Revised | Inserted a section on "Common relationships with utilities" immdiately followong the Definitions section. |
| General | 3 | 4.2.1 | 6 | While it is helpful to list typical available voltages (240V Delta, 208Y/120V, 480Y/277V) it may be useful to add a sentence or two discussing common non-standard voltages. Many users of this standard will look to this section when confronting such non-standard voltages, after all. An example would be the unavailability of 480Y/277V in the District of Columbia; the attached PEPCO handbook Article 113 Table 1 shows that 460Y/25V is available but 480Y/277V is not. | No | Add a sentence or two for common (but atypical) voltages, with some explanation of why these are atypical. Users of the standard would then learn both the "what" and "why" when encountering these voltages. Examples include 240/120 highleg delta, still offered by many utilities but only as a replacement for existing systems due to the perceived danger (the system is often called | | A few sentences have been added to alert the reader that such voltages may be present, but unavailable for new facilities. |
| Editorial | 3 | 4.2.1 | 9 | Typo: "When the facilities load becomes" | No | "When the facility's load becomes" | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 3 | 4.2.1 | 16 | and, therefore | No | and, usually | Accepted | |
| General | 3 | 4.2.3.1 | 30 | Besides the connecting of standby generators consideration should be given to distributed generation connected to the facility. The expanding use of solar power and electric storage will have | No | Add to line 35: "Consideration should also be given to any paralleled generation (e.g. solar power) or electric storage (e.g. battery storage)." | | At this time, it is debatable whether renewable sources enhance reliability. The majority of present applications depend on connection to the utility source. Only when there is electrical storage could a renewable source provide backup power. |
| General | 3 | 4.2.3.4 | | The point about operating staff is valid, but deosn't address the full issue | No | This section sould be expanded to include the need for system documentation that guides operatin gpersonnel to the appropriate actions in the even of critical events on the system (fire, unplanned interruptions, etc). In addition, it would be appropriate to recommend that critical | Rejected | This information is not relevant in the context of planning the electrical service. |
| General | 4 | 4.2.3.2 | 4 | Reliability of the electric system will be dependent on distributed energy resources as well as other loads. | No | Change the sentence starting on line 4 to: "The reliability of the electric service is also dependent upon the other loads as well as distributed energy resources on the same distribution | | Another paralleled source on the distribution system may provide some momentary support. It is questionable whether it is a good practice to rely on these sources. |
| Editorial Editorial | 4 | 4.2.3.4 | 24 | Mis-spelling of "Howeverm" "Howeverm a limited" is a typo | No No | However, Change to: However, a limited | Accepted Accepted | |
| General | 4 | 4.2.3.4 | 24 | Typo: "Howeverm a limited" | No | "However a limited" | Accepted | |
| Technical | 4 | 4.2.3.5 | 39 | Designers should be encourage to use safety by design concepts and to go over an above the minimum installation requirements of the NEC (or CSA and equivalents). | Yes | — Arc Flash and or other protection that enhances maintenance and operational safety. Protection to mitigate electrical hazards may be more than that required to meet the minimum requirement of installation codes, how it should be considered within the context of Safety-by- design principles and the Hierarchy of hazard control measures provided in NFPA 70E and ANSI Z10. | Accepted | |
| General Editorial | 6 | 4.3 | 16 34 | or under-load type) The term "resindential" has no meaning. Either correct the term | No Yes | or on-load type) Correct "resindential" to the proper term or else | Accepted Accepted | Revised to correct typographical error. Should be |
| | | | | or define it. | | define it. | · | "residential." |
| Editorial | 6 | 4.3 | 34 | Mis-spelling of "Resindential" | No | | Accepted | Revised to correct typographical error. Should be "residential." |
| General | 6 | 4.3 | 41 | Generators may infer only synchronous and induction machines. Need to also include inverter connected generation. | No | Replace the words "generators are" with "generation (i.e. synchronous, induction, or | Accepted | |
| General | 8 | 4.4 | 13 | Consider adding system impedance or X/R ratio. | No | k) Available short-circuit capacity and impedance (##+j## or X/R ratio) of the supply system. | · | Not necessary to obtain both capacity (assumed expressed in MVA or kA) and impedance. The phrase could be changed to "short circuit capacity or impedance," however, it is felt that the list does not need this level of detail. |
| Technical | 8 | 4.4 | 13 | Engineer should obtain and utility should provide the 'range" of short circuit capacity" possible at utility service point. This is important to achieve good Arc Flash incident energy calculations. | Yes | change: from; k) Available short-circuit capacity of the supply system to; Available minimum and maximum short-circuit capacity of the supply system (minimum and maximum is required to execute the required arc flash incident energy calculations) | Revised | Revised to "k) Available minimum and maximum short- circuit capacity of the supply system, accounting for likely future changes to the utility distribution system (maximum values are used for determining suitability of interrupters applied in the system while arc flash incident energy calculations should use these and minimum values to determine maximum arc flash energy conditions)" |

| | | | | · | | | | |
|------------------------|----|----------------|----------|--|-----------|--|----------------------|---|
| General | 8 | 4.4 | 13 | Add also 'future' sc | No | Add "expected future short circuit" | Revised | Revised to "k) Available minimum and maximum short- circuit capacity of the supply system, accounting for likely future changes to the utility distribution system (maximum values are used for determining suitability of interrupters applied in the system while arc flash incident energy calculations should use these and minimum values to determine maximum arc flash energy conditions)" |
| Editorial | 9 | 5.2 | 24 | energy is abbreviated kWH instead of kWh here and on line 27. | No | use kWh | Accepted | |
| General | 9 | 5.2 | 24 | Typo (lines 24 and 27) uppercase H in kWH | No | kWh | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 9 | 5.2 | 24 | Inconsistent use of kWH and kWh. Seen on line 24 and 27 | Yes | | Accepted | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| General | 9 | 5.2 | 37 | I would add flicker to the list as item 8 | No | | Revised | Flicker is usually a condition resulting from motor starting, covered by item 7, power quality. A reference to flicker was added in item 7 rather than making this a separate item. |
| Editorial Editorial | 14 | 6.5 6.5 | 18 18 | "The ustomer generally" is missing a c Correct spelling of "ustomer" to "customer". | No Yes | Change to: The customer generally Correct spelling of "ustomer" to "customer". | Accepted Accepted | Corrected spelling Corrected spelling |
| | | | | | | | · | |
| Editorial | 14 | 6.5 | 18 | 'c' left off of customer | No | correct spelling | Accepted | Corrected spelling |
| General | 14 | 6.5 | 36 | Do not think that M should be capitalized. | Yes | Change "Electronic Meters" to "Electronic | Accepted | |
| | | | | | | meters" | | |
| Editorial | 14 | 4.2.3.4 | 24 | typographical error in spelling of however | Yes | "Howeverm" to "However" | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 17 | 6.7.3 | 3 | "rent Inclusion:" should be capitalized. | No | Change to: Rent Inclusion: | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 19 | 7.4 | 2 | | No | "by dedicated industrial substations that can be | | |
| | - | | | designed, constructed, and" | 1 | designed, constructed, and" | , | |
| Editorial | 20 | 8 | 7 | | No | <u> </u> | Accepted | |
| General | 21 | 8.1.2.2 | 8 | | No | Add a short description of chop current, it's | Rejected | Section 8.1 covers Overhead Service. This proposed text |
| | | | | recent understandings regarding chop current surge risk to service entrances due to the low cost of Vacuum Fault Interrupters and SF-6 switches permitting their use much closer than in the past. Several papers from David Shipp (formerly of Eaton Corporation) could be referenced here, alerting users of this standard to the potential risk. In the past, power switching surges originated much more distantly from the service. High frequency switching chop current was less of an issue as the high cost of devices having these characteristics prevented | | association with non-air-break devices, and technology advances that have reduced the cost of these devices permitting more widespread use. | | is not appropriate in the context of overhead service. |
| Technical | 24 | 8.3 | 15 | A minimum radius of 7.62m (300 inches) seems excessive. | No | Need to substantiate this and revise if needed. | Rejected | Found examples in underground specs referring to 25 foot radius sweeps for changes in direction (Caltrain 16130, 2011) |
| Editorial | 26 | 9.3 | 18 | misspelling | Yes | consideration | Accepted | 2011) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Editorial Technical | 26 | 9.3 | 18 28 | Mis-spelling of "Consideratioan" regarding arc flash labels there should be mention of NFPA 70E and NFPA 70, as well as TtD principles. | No Yes | Consideration Determine arc flash boundaries and post warnings at all locations where extra precautions are 28 to be taken. Follow NFPA 70E, NFPA 70 and prevention through design principles including determination of arc flash boundaries and posting of suitable warnings at all locations where precautions need to be taken. | Accepted Revised | Added "Follow NFPA 70E, NFPA 70 and prevention- through-design principles. Determine arc flash boundaries (IEEE 1584 and NFPA 70E Art. 130.4) and post warning labels according to ANSI Z535.5 and NFPA 70E Art 130.5(D) at all locations where precautions are to be taken." |
| Technical | 26 | 9.3 | 28 | Determining the Arc Flash Boundaries (IEEE 1584 and NFPA 70E | No | Reword: Determine arc flash boundaries (IEEE | Revised | Added "Follow NFPA 70E, NFPA 70 and prevention- |
| | | | | Art. 130), should be followed with the requirements to post the "labeling" (warning label) per OSHA requirements and the NEC 70E (Art. 130.5(D)). This is an employer issue, but the text does mention "Electrical Requirements" in line 19 of the same page with mention of posting warnings. | | 1584 and NFPA 70E Art. 130.4) and post warning labels according to ANSI Z535.5 and NFPA 70E Art 130.5(D) at all locations where precautions are to be taken. | | through-design principles. Determine arc flash boundaries (IEEE 1584 and NFPA 70E Art. 130.4) and post warning labels according to ANSI 2535.5 and NFPA 70E Art 130.5(D) at all locations where precautions are to be taken." |
| General General | 28 | 9.5.1 9.5.1 | 19 19 | "1936 mm 2/kVA" This is a global comment. The use of purely metric measures | No Yes | Please fix units Please add parenthetical US customary measures | Accepted | |
| | | | | without some "hint" as to what the customary US measures would be is a distinct disservice to the reader. An example is 1936 mm2, which is more easily understood as 3 in2. | | on all metric measures. | · | |
| General | 28 | 9.5.1 | 33 | It would be helpful to alert users of this standard to a minimum | No | Add a sentence advising that some utilities | Revised | Added, "When providing a vault for utility-owned |
| | | | | recommended head height for use in mechanical | | require non-obvious minimum head heights to | | equipment, consult with the utility on their requirements. |
| | | | | lifting/removal of utility transformers in indoor vaults. Attached is a vault agreement from Dominion Virginia Power outlining a | | permit mechanized insertion/removal of transformers in vaults. | | These requriements may include such items as minimum access dimensions, minimum vault dimensions, oil |
| | | | | simple "double transformer height" requirement that permits | | Constolliners in vaules. | | containment (if applicable), lighting and ventilation." |
| | | | | their standard lift truck to insert and remove transformers | | | | contaminent (ii applicable), lighting and ventilation. |
| Technical | 28 | 9.5.1. | 37 | Question concrete wall thickness of 200 mm (8 inches). | No | Need to substantiate this text and possibly | | |
| | | | | NEC450.42 requires four inches minimum and Information Note 2 refers to 6 inches. | | revise. | | |
| General | 31 | 9.6.2 | 17 | "order of two 410" | No | I think it shall read "order of 410" | | Found references to sidwalk loads of 600 lbs/sq ft (2929 kg/sq m). And others much smaller. Suggest referring to local codes rather than providing a specific value. |
| Editorial | 32 | 9.6.3 | 19 | hot-spot is a non-recommended term in C57.12.80. Hottest-spot is the recommended term. | No | use recommended term | Accepted | |
| General | 34 | 9.7.4 | 16 | Technically NEC 110.26(B) only prohibits storage and other | No | Clarify the NEC reference applies only to | Revised | The sentence is revised to indicate that the NESC prohibits |
| | | | | purposes for the working space in Electrical rooms, and not the entire room. I have had inspectors cite this on jobs, and while I agree completely with the concept the wording of the Code does not support this. | | electrical working space within the electrical room, not the entire room. | | storage in electrical rooms and spaces, whereas the NEC prohbits storage in defined working space. |
| Editorial | 34 | 9.7.4 | 30 | Delete " Watertight". NEMA 4 is not watertight. Flood the area around the equipment and water will get in. | Yes | Delete " Watertight". | Revised | Replaced "Watertight" with "inteneded to protect from splashing water seepage of water, falling or hose directed water, but not intended for submersible applications." |

| General | 38 | 10.6.2 | 10 | This section is fine insofar as 'large services' are concerned. However, in today's applications active sources of energy may be integrated into even the smallest installations (the individual residence). Therefore, the issue of distributed generationshould be treated as a universal issue and not an anomaly limited to large services. | No | The entire document should be edited to refer to prevailing standards that address integration of active sources behind any meter. I However, it is suggested that the authors refrain from attemption to make this a definitive treatise on daaling with non-utility generatrion. Instead, tshe point should be made that almost any installation may include active sources, and simply refer to other standards on techniques for treating those sources. | | This material is expected to be covered in 3005.8, "Recommended Practice for the Application of Distributed Generation to Industrial and Commercial Power Systems." The primary focus of this standard is on the service and not on onsite generation. To that end, a higher priority is to convey the intent to install onsite generation to the utility and to understand the utilities' interconnection requirements, which are among items noted in D3 section 4.4 and 4.5. |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|---------|--|-----|---|----------------------|--|
| Editorial | 38 | 9.5.1 | 19 | Think this is am error in the use of exponent to mean dimension of square area | Yes | change "1936 mm 2/kVA" to show the 2 to be raised to depict a square of mm. Difficult to | Accepted | |
| Technical | 39 | 10.6.3.2 | 16 | if not addressed sufficiently elsewhere add available fault current range, minimum and maximum! | Yes | Add the following requirement: Possible maximum and minimum fault current available from the utility company to properly calculate the equipment ratings required (requires maximum) and the arc flash hazard (requires maximum and minimum) to personnel at the facility. | Rejected | This is covered adequately in the general material that preceds this. This section addresses issues specific to substations and connections to utility transmission systems. |
| General | 39 | 9.5.2 | 42 | My view of lines 42 and 43 showed the text height got smaller on the last sentence of 9.5.2. Not sure if on purpose but it | Yes | Change text properties of the last sentence of 9.5.2 to match the rest of the paragraph | Accepted | Text formatting will be reviewed by IEEE-SA editorial staff prior to publication. |
| General | 43 | 10.6.4 | 8 | (SS C/65 C) | No | My understanding that the 55 C is kept for historical reasons. As of now all units are designed for 65 C with the use of upgraded papers Indeed C57.12.00 does not even mentions 55 C | Revised | The sentence is poorly worded. Revised to, "Lower transformer temperature rise ratings applied on designs otherwise designed for 65 °C rise (55 °C/65 °C rise)" |
| General | 46 | 10.6.9 | | The proposed text addresses only the issue of the time required to construct the submisation. While that's important, the dialog that takes place between the facility owner and the grid host should also consider the schedule that the oswner has for completing the facility, in cluding the need for temporary power during construction. | No | This section should be broadened to address the overallproject schedule, including the need for temporary construction power while the substation is being erected. Also, the Gantt chart in Fig 3 should be cleaned up and should not include actual calendar dates, especially dates that are no thirty years in the past. | | Revised Gantt chart as proposed. Added additional text, "The substation schedule must be coordinated with other related facility project activities. For example, for a greenfield site, the substation schedule must be coordinated with the overall facility design and construction schedule. The substation schedule may need accommodate the need for the substation to supply temporary construction power prior to full facility operation. In the case of a service upgrade, the coordination of transferring load from the existing service to the new substation must be considered." |
| Editorial | 47 | 10.6.11 | 18 | "Resolution of the items in Section 5.6.10 above" | No | correct reference | Accepted | |
| General | 48 | 10.6.11 | 1 | should refer to 10.6.10 Quality of figure 3 should be improved. Redo in Excel if required. | | Redraw figure 3. | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 48 | 10.6.9 | 1 | Figure 3 is largely unreadable. Improve the graphic so that it is readable. | Yes | Figure 3 is largely unreadable. Improve the graphic so that it is readable. | Accepted | |
| Editorial | 50 | 10.6.3.8.1 | 41 | typographical error of the plural form of facility Also same error on line 42 | Yes | | Accepted | |
| General | 50 | 10.7.3.4 | | Crushed limestone is not the only acceptable surfacing material. | No | Delete the referent to 'crushed limestone', and replace it with a more generic term, eg 'crushed | Accepted | |
| General | 50 | 10.7.3.6 | 20 | Airborne contamination is not the only concern. | No | Need to mention the need to have agreements/limitations on audible noise and | Revised | Added additional section, Environmental considerations. |
| Editorial | 51 | 10.7.4.2 | 28 | Correct spelling of "Buccholtz" to "Buchholz". | Yes | Correct spelling of "Buccholtz" to "Buchholz". | Accepted | |
| General General | 52 53 | 10.7.4.2 10.7.4.2 | 5 11 | Add definition table to Fig 5, for consistence with the other the term "operate", "blow' and "melt" should be reworded to | No | Revise "operate" in line 11 to "open" and "blow" | Accepted Accepted | |
| | | | | "open". | | in line 12 to "open the". Revise "melt" to "open in line 1 on page 54. | | |
| General | 55 | 10.7.6 | | Need to mention that the drawings may need to be reviewed and sealed by a professional engineer. | No | Add reference to professional engineer review of drawings. | Revised | Added, "The drawings may need to be reviewed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer." |
| Technical | 57 | 10.8.3 | 7 | Please attached file | Yes | Please see the attached file for proposed text copied from the current revision of IEEE 1242. Thanks, -Ben | Revised | File not attached. Inserted proposal as a rogue comment. The clause discusses acceptance testing, and the first part of proposed item 2. refers to factory testing, which is not appropriate. The current IEEE 1242 (1999) was referred to and the proposed text was not substantiated. Further investigation in IEEE Std 3007.2 found, "DC hi-pot testing continues to be used for acceptance testing and with newly installed cables" and cites references to conclude, "DC hi-pot testing before energizing new medium-voltage cable does not cause any reduction in cable life." Therefore, 6 hipot testing will continue to be listed, however the reference shall be changed to that of IEEE 400, IEEE 1242 and IEEE 3007.2. |
| Technical | 57 | 10.8.3. | 7 | This reference is only for safety and the statement does not refi | No | Recommended text from IEEE 1242: 1. For unshielded cables dc insulation resistance test should be used as an acceptance test. 2. Shielded cable systems including cable, joints, and termination insulation should be thoroughly tested in the factory using a 50 or 60Hz, elevated voltage, partial discharge test. Each cable system component must meet specific ICEA/AEIC and IEEE partial discharge requirements. However, after shipping, handling, and installation, the cable system may no longer meet these requirements. Thus, an after-laying test is recommended. Ideally, the factory partial discharge test can be repeated on the installed | Revised | The clause discusses acceptance testing, and the first part of proposed item 2. refers to factory testing, which is not appropriate. The current IEEE 1242 (1999) was referred to and the proposed text was not substantiated. Further investigation in IEEE Std 3007.2 found, "DC hi-pot testing continues to be used for acceptance testing and with newly installed cables" and cites references to conclude, "DC hi-pot testing before energizing new medium-voltage cable does not cause any reduction in cable life." Therefore, dc hipot testing will continue to be listed, however the reference shall be changed to that of IEEE 400, IEEE 1242 and IEEE 3007.2 |

| Editorial | 57 | 10.8.5 | 27 | I do not see any mention of producing project Record Drawings | No | consider adding creation of Record Drawings for | Revised | Added, "The operation and maintenance manual should |
|-----------|----|----------|----|---|----|--|----------|--|
| | | | | for use in the ongoing operating and maintenance of the | | ongoing operations. | | be supplemented by as-built record drawings of the |
| | | | | facility. Record Drawings are created from the contractor's | | | | substation. The as-built drawings are an important |
| | | | | annotated construction drawings. | | | | reference document for maintenance, troubleshooting, |
| | | | | | | | | servicing, and future planning actitvities." |
| | | | | | | | | |
| General | 58 | 10.8.5.4 | 33 | I would add also the equipment vendors as a good source of | No | | Revised | Revised a sentence to include a reference to the |
| | | | | required spare part list | | | | equipment supplier. |
| General | 60 | AnnexA | 24 | IEEE Std 510 is a withdrawn standard | No | Add footnote to bibliography that this standard is | | |
| | | | | | | withdrawn, no longer active. Consider identifying | | |
| | | | | | | other active standards to cite where IEEE 510 is | | |
| Editorial | | | | Draft meets all editorial requirements. | No | | Accepted | |